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stakes. I don’t know what all of them are yet, but there are some really smart people starting to think about whether it’s going to change the model of how scholars produce ideas, how we as publishers are involved in that, and how we help promote and amplify ideas.
published in the fervently anti-immigrant VDARE, The Social Contract, and American Patrol, as well as in The Nationalist Times, the organ of the neo-Nazi American National Union.

Lubinskas expressed in FrontPage Magazine ideas similar to those he was used to voicing in the openly fascist press. In an August 2001 article linking the Washington, DC sniper killings with the 1972-1974 San Francisco "Zebra" killings, Lubinskas made the mendacious claim that some 71 whites had at the time been murdered by blacks—a number in contradiction with the number 15 that he himself had cited in an earlier piece on the subject published in American Renaissance. Black-on-white crime, Lubinskas raved, was a "taboo" subject in the U.S. media. In an October 2002 article for FrontPage Magazine titled "Racial Myths and Realities," Lubinskas praised Horowitz's courageous statements on race; argued that at least as many German-Americans and Italian-Americans as Japanese-Americans were interned during World War II; and defended lynching from the charge that it had been a "vicious practice of whites against blacks." That Horowitz should publish the writings of such an over-the-top white supremacist as Lubinskas at the same time that he was presenting himself as a pro-black compassionate conservative should tell us something about the mixed messages that, at the turn into the millennium, he wished FrontPage Magazine to be sending out.

Horowitz's promulgation of racism does not stop here. Through its archive, in other words, Horowitz's FrontPage Magazine is indirectly publicizing the current activities of radical racists. A link from Lubinskas's 2001 article on the Zebra killings in the FrontPage Magazine archive points to the current web site of the European American Issue Forum (EAF), a publication "dedicated to the eradication of discrimination and defamation of European Americans" that provides up-to-date information about white supremacist activities in the U.S. As of this writing in October 2006, for instance, I learned from FrontPage Magazine's 2001 link to the EAF web site about a memorial meeting about the Zebra killings (about which Lubinskas is clearly obsessed) scheduled for the end of the month, as well as about the monthly meeting of the San Francisco chapter of the EAF in a San Francisco police station, whose precise address was given.

A further EAF link to "Academia and Campus Outreach"—which contains helpful information about how to set up high school and college chapters— informs the reader that October is "European Heritage Month" and supplies information about how to report that one has been the victim—presumably as a person of European heritage—of a "hate crime" or "discrimination." Additional links from the EAF web site lead to "U.S. Border Control," where one can read about the need to "close our borders to deadly diseases, terrorism and illegal immigration," as well as about current successful organizing efforts among African Americans by the anti-immigrant Minutemen.

without the prior groundwork laid by the commentary accompanying Proposition 187.

My principal concern in these brief comments is with the growing racist movement in the United States, of which Horowitz, despite his demurral, is very much a part. Readers of minnesota review may recall that Horowitz first came to widespread national attention in 2000, when he attempted to publish in several dozen campus newspapers nationwide (and succeeded in doing so in many) an advertisement attacking the movement for African-American reparations titled "Ten Reasons Why Reparations for Blacks Is a Bad Idea for Blacks—and Racist Too" (FrontPage Magazine 3 January 2001). Until then known primarily to those who track the careers of former radicals turned conservative, Horowitz became notorious for a series of outrageous assertions about slavery and race. Rather than pointing to "ongoing racism," he proposed, the "economic adversity" experienced by the "black underclass" must be attributed to "failures of individual character." Blacks were demanding "an extravagant new handout that is only necessary because some blacks can't seem to locate the ladder of opportunity within reach of others—many less privileged than themselves." Indeed, he maintained, "American blacks on average enjoy per capita incomes in the range of twenty to fifty times that of blacks living in any of the African nations from which they were kidnapped." According to this impeccable logic, one could change "black" to "Jewish" and claim that the tsars' pogroms helped Jews by driving them to the U.S., where they could have the highest per capita income of any minority group. So if African Americans benefited from slavery, European Jews benefited from anti-Semitism, including even the Holocaust.

Horowitz was immediately and effectively challenged. A range of prominent historians and journalists—including University of Massachusetts professor Ernest Allen, Jr., Black Scholar editor Robert Chrisman, investigative reporter Chip Berlet, and the prominent historian John Hope Franklin—demolished Horowitz's arguments on historical, economic, and sociological grounds. (Their arguments hardly need reiterating here.) Horowitz repeated without qualification or disclaimer all his key points in Uncivil Wars, his 2002 book detailing the "debate" over reparations that he claimed to have inspired. Probing out the truth was never the goal of his ad campaign; he was in the business of promulgating the Big Lie.

Even as Horowitz was presenting himself as a compassionate conservative with only the best interests of black people at heart, he was publishing in FrontPage Magazine a series of articles by James Lubinskas, an unabashed white supremacist with neo-Nazi connections. Lubinskas has served as assistant editor of American Renaissance, which the conservative publication antiwar.com characterizes as promoting the view that "non-whites are generally, culturally, and morally inferior to whites." He has
FrontPage Magazine’s support for racist initiatives is direct as well. Not only does the 20 October 2006 issue contain links to current activities of Students for Academic Freedom and various articles and interviews defending Israeli foreign policy and supporting the war in Iraq (even asserting that WMDs have been found). In addition, the issue contains:

- an interview with Tom Tancredo, the Colorado Congressman who has distinguished himself for his virulent attacks on undocumented workers;
- a link to a piece titled “Symposium: Terror from the North,” which details the arrests of seventeen Muslim men in Ontario;
- and a link to a July 2006 Washington Times article on the recent decision of the Bush Administration to send tens of thousands of National Guardsmen to the Mexico-U.S. border.

Most egregiously, the 20 October 2006 issue of FrontPage Magazine contains a link to the website of the far-right United American Committee, which has as its stated goal opposition to the “threats of Islamist extremism” and the “education of the American people regarding the philosophies and activities of our enemies which are operating from within the United States borders.” The most chilling of several grisly newstories recount the months-long preparations for, and then successful enactment of, the recent burning of an effigy of Osama bin Laden before a chanting crowd of hundreds in Culver City, California.

When I read these pieces, my blood ran cold, for what was being featured—and advocated—was the symbolic ritual lynching, pure and simple, of an Arab. The announcement that the ritual was going to take place; the detailed description of the episode; the photographs of the crowd attacking the effigy and displaying their trophy; the whole journalistic presentation resembled nothing so much as the kinds of advertisements for, and reports on, lynching that used to circulate in the Jim Crow South. Follow the links yourself. You will see what Horowitz—for all his protestations to academic respectability—is promoting these days in FrontPage Magazine.6

To this day Horowitz is hard at work promulgating racism of the most vicious and violent kind. We fool ourselves if we think that he has drawn in his claws or retreated to the realm of academic debate. And we fool ourselves all the more if we think that the kinds of ideas and attitudes espoused in FrontPage Magazine and its cyber-linked allies are irrelevant to the debates occupying mainstream media attention and political discourse. Horowitz and his ilk continue to help lead to the extreme right the “debate” over race and racism in the U.S. It is at our peril that we do not take him, and them, seriously.
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